

DP Barcode : D155660  
 PC Code No : 109301  
 EEB Out : 3-2-93

To: GEORGE LARocca  
 Product Manager 15  
 Registration Division (H7505C)

From: Anthony F. Maciorowski, Chief  
 Ecological Effects Branch/EFED (H7507C)

Attached, please find the EEB review of...

Reg./File # : \_\_\_\_\_  
 Chemical Name ~~ES~~ FENVALERATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type Product : \_\_\_\_\_  
 Product Name : \_\_\_\_\_  
 Company Name : DUPONT DENEMOURS & CO, INC \_\_\_\_\_  
 Purpose : REVIEW FISK KILL INCIDENT POTENTIALLY CAUSED  
 BY ~~ES~~ FENVALERATE AND INDICATE WHETHER IT CHANGES THE  
 PRESUMPTION OF RISK \_\_\_\_\_  
 Action Code : \_\_\_\_\_ Date Due : 3-5-93  
 Reviewer : MIKE REXRODE Date In EEB: 9-17-90

EEB Guideline/MRID Summary Table: The review in this package contains an evaluation of the following:

GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT	GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT	GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT
71-1(A)			72-2(A)			72-7(A)		
71-1(B)			72-2(B)			72-7(B)		
71-2(A)			72-3(A)			122-1(A)		
71-2(B)			72-3(B)			122-1(B)		
71-3			72-3(C)			122-2		
71-4(A)			72-3(D)			123-1(A)		
71-4(B)			72-3(E)			123-1(B)		
71-5(A)			72-3(F)			123-2		
71-5(B)			72-4(A)			124-1		
72-1(A)			72-4(B)			124-2		
72-1(B)			72-5			141-1		
72-1(C)			72-6			141-2		
72-1(D)						141-5		

Y=Acceptable (Study satisfied Guideline)/Concur

P=Partial (Study partially fulfilled Guideline but additional information is needed)

S=Supplemental (Study provided useful information but Guideline was not satisfied)

N=Unacceptable (Study was rejected)/Nonconcur

MAR 2 1993

*info*

*[Signature]*

DP BARCODE: D155660

CASE: 281602  
SUBMISSION: S382015

DATA PACKAGE RECORD  
BEAN SHEET

DATE: 09/14/90  
Page 1 of 1

\* \* \* CASE/SUBMISSION INFORMATION \* \* \*

CASE TYPE: MISCELLANEOUS ACTION: DATA - ADVERSE DATA  
CHEMICAL: 109301 Fenvalerate  
ID#:  
COMPANY: E. I. DU PONT DENEMOURS AND COMPANY, INC.  
PRODUCT MANAGER: 15 GEORGE LARocca 703-557-2400 ROOM: CM#2 204  
PM TEAM REVIEWER: ADAM HEYWARD 703-557-4421 ROOM: CM#2 200  
RECEIVED DATE: 07/30/90 DUE OUT DATE: 10/08/90

\* \* \* DATA PACKAGE INFORMATION \* \* \*

DP BARCODE: 155660 EXPEDITE: N DATE SENT: 09/14/90 DATE RET.: / /  
DP TYPE: 001 Submission Related Data Package  
ADMIN DUE DATE: 10/09/90 CSF: N LABEL: N  
ASSIGNED TO DATE IN ASSIGNED TO DATE IN  
DIV : EFED 09/11/90 REVR : JAKERMAN / /  
BRAN: EEB MAR 2 1993 CONTR: / /  
SECT: IO

\* \* \* DATA PACKAGE REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS \* \* \*

DU PONT IS REPORTING AN INCIDENT OF FISH KILL AS A RESULT OF  
EXPOSURE TO ASANA. PLEASE REVIEW THE ATTACHED LETTER AND  
REPLY WITHIN 30-DAYS OR LESS.

THERE ARE NO ADDITIONAL DATA PACKAGE RECORDS

*No letter or report attached*  
*[Signature]*



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 1993

OFFICE OF  
PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND  
TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

Subject: Review 6(a)(2) Information on Fish Kill that may have  
Resulted from ASANA Exposure

From: Anthony F. Maciorowski, Chief *Anthony F. Maciorowski*  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

To: George T. LaRocca, PM-15  
Product Manager  
Registration Division (H7505C)

The Ecological Effects Branch (EEB) has received a report from Du Pont describing a fish kill that may have resulted from ASANA exposure. The following information describes the incident.

On June 13, 1990, Steve Ross of the Illinois Department of Agriculture contacted Du Pont to request an analytical standard of esfenvalerate, the active ingredient in ASANA XL Insecticide. Suspecting that ASANA runoff into an Illinois farm pond had resulted in a fish kill, Mr. Ross planned to evaluate this problem by conducting analysis on water samples. The pond was located adjacent to a field on which a mixture of pesticides had reportedly been applied (paraquat, Bicep, ASANA XL, 2,4-D and zinc phosphide).

EEB has evaluated the information, submitted by Du Pont, that describes the fish kill event and the situations prior to this occurrence. However, this report is very brief and does not outline significant points that can refute or defend the claim that ASANA was the primary compound of concern. There was no indication as to time and amount of application of any of the other pesticides that were purported to have been used on this acreage. The fish that died were not identified as to species, age (life stage), or tissue residues. Although water concentrations (0.1 ppb) of esfenvalerate were noted, there was no information as to time of sampling, depth of water sampled, water quality characteristics (pH, alkalinity, clarity, DO, temperature), amount of esfenvalerate and other compounds in the sediment, algae bloom, plankton abundance and time of fish kill. Therefore, EEB can only acknowledge the possibility of an ASANA



Recycled/Recyclable  
Printed with Soy/Canola Ink on paper that  
contains at least 50% recycled fiber

3

fish kill but no direct determination can be concluded with the present information.

This incident does not change our presumption of risk for Asana. No additional data are required because of this incident and no immediate regulatory action is recommended beyond that which would be initiated based on the field testing and other available information.